

2012 Spring Conference

Retirement and OPEB Plans
-What's Changing Here (Virginia)
And There (Other States)
May 24, 2012

Barry Faison, VRS David Boomershine, BCG





Agenda

- Background
- Private Sector vs. Public Sector
- Virginia's Retirement Plans
- Recent Changes/Update: Pension Plans
 - Funding: Cost Volatility
 - Actuarial Assumptions
 - Accounting
 - Plan Design Roundup
 - Pension Reform Initiatives in Virginia
- Recent Changes/Update: OPEB Plans
 - Funding
 - Actuarial Assumptions
 - Plan Design
 - Virginia's OPEB Plans
- Current Issues

Background

Interested Parties: Retirement Plans/Budget Concerns

- Governing Bodies
- Employees/Retirees
- Unions
- Taxpayers
- Bond Rating Agencies
- Federal Government -?



Private Sector vs. Public Sector

Recent Changes

<u>Issues</u>	Impacts:				
	Private Sector		Public Sector		
	Pension	Retiree Medical	Pension	Retiree Medical	
Federal Legislation	√				
Accounting	√	√		✓	
Asset Losses	√		✓		
Valuation Interest Rates	✓	✓	✓	✓	

Result: Increased cost and liability volatility for Private Sector Pension Plans

Virginia's Retirement Plans

- Virginia Retirement System
 - State Employees (single employer plan)
 - Teachers (multiple-employer cost-sharing plan)
 - Political Subdivisions (agent multiple-employer plan)
- State Police Officers' Retirement System
- Judicial Retirement System
- Virginia Law Officers' Retirement System
- Alternate DC plans for Higher Education

Funded Ratios for Virginia DB Plans June 30, 2011 (Dollars in Millions)

System	Actuarial Value of Assets	Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL)	Unfunded AAL (UAAL)	Fuded Ratio	Covered Payroll	UAAL as a Percentage of Covered Payroll
State	14,406	20,408	6,002	70.6%	3,686	162.8%
Teachers	25,166	37,772	12,606	66.6%	6,922	182.1%
Political Subs	12,987	17,005	4,018	76.4%	4,100	98.0%
VRS Total	52,559	75,185	22,626	69.9%	14,708	153.8%
SPORS	617	986	369	62.6%	100	370.3%
JRS	371	569	198	65.2%	59	336.8%
VaLORS	926	1,683	757	55.0%	356	212.5%

Funding of Virginia DB Plans (at various valuation dates and interest assumptions)

System	2009 (Actuarial Value of Assets & 7.5% Interest Rate)	2010 (Actuarial Value of Assets & 7.5% Interest Rate)	2010 (Actuarial Value of Assets & 7.0% Interest Rate)	2011 (Actuarial Value of Assets & 7.0% Interest Rate)	2011 (Market Value of Assets & 7.0% Interest Rate)
State	84.0%	79.7%	75.2%	70.6%	68.6%
Teachers	76.1%	73.1%	68.6%	66.6%	64.9%
Political Subs	85.1%	81.9%	77.7%	76.3%	75.0%
SPORS	73.6%	70.8%	66.8%	62.6%	60.7%
JRS	72.5%	69.4%	66.5%	65.2%	63.5%
VaLORS	64.7%	62.6%	58.6%	55.0%	54.1%

Virginia Retirement Plan Funding

- Employer contribution rates set biennially by the VRS Actuary and certified by the VRS Board of Trustees using VRS assumptions (7.0/2.5/30)
- Contribution rates for state employee groups and teachers funded in the Appropriation Act using different assumptions (8.0/2.5/30)
- Political subdivision rates are not impacted by the Appropriation Act

- Funding: Cost Volatility
 - Cost Increases
 - Asset losses
 - Discount rate
 - Unfunded Liability impact examples

(\$ in millions)

	Asset Losses		Discount Rate	
	Prior Year	Current Year	Prior Year	Current Year
Actuarial Liability	\$100	\$105	\$100	\$115
Assets	80	75	80	85
Unfunded Actuarial Liability	20	30	20	30

• Amortization costs increase 50%

- Funding: Cost Volatility
 - Cost Decreases
 - Asset gains
 - Plan population limits (employment freeze, layoffs)
 - Compensation controls (freeze, decreases, furloughs)
 - Other Funding Approaches
 - Pension Obligation Bonds: pay off Unfunded Liability

- Actuarial Assumptions
 - Discount Rate Key
 - Median at 8.0%
 - Average at 7.8%
 - Trending down from 8.0% to 7.5%
 - CALPERS adopted 7.5%
 - Some at 7.0%, including Virginia
 - Other Economic Assumptions
 - Salary increases
 - COLAs
 - Mortality Table Update
- Indicate Cost Increases



- Accounting GASB Proposal Update
- Impacts:
 - Net pension liability
 - Discount rate basis ?
 - Use of Entry Age Normal Cost Method
 - Amortization periods
 - Additional required information
 - Cost Sharing multiple employee plans
- Accounting vs. Funding Separation Less Clarity

- Plan Design Roundup: Typical changes from 2008 to 2011
 - State Sponsored Plans (2012 GAO Study)
 - Usually for new employees
 - Adjusted benefits 24 States
 - Eligibility requirements 29 States
 - COLAs 18 States
 - Increased employee contributions 25 States
 - Switched to Hybrid approach 3 States



		# States including changes for current
•	Plan Design – Roundup:	employees/retirees
	 State Plans: More Details 	
	 Adjusted Benefits 	6
	Benefit % reduced	
	 Increased pay averaging period 	
	 Eligibility requirements 	6
	 Increased retirement age/service 	
	Vesting	
	• COLAs	5/8
	 Increased employee contributions 	20
	 Switched to Hybrid 	0

Hybrids

- In public sector: combination DB and DC plans
- In private sector: Cash Balance Plan
- 6 States and District of Columbia have DC or Hybrid primary plans
- 1 State has a Cash Balance primary plan
- 6 States offer DC or Hybrid optional alternatives to primary DB plans
- Note definite private sector trend from DB to DC/Hybrid
- Some public sector trend from DB to DC/Hybrid
- Also note 1 State plan has cap on employer contribution commitment to DB plan
- Clear interest in DC plans due to private sector trends

A few case studies:

- Illinois: Funding and cash flow woes; plan changes
- Rhode Island: Drastic plan changes
- Utah: Hybrid plan with employer cost cap for DB plan
- Colorado/Minnesota/South Dakota: COLA wars

Pension Reform Initiatives in Virginia

Year	DB Plans
2008	Virginia General Assembly requests JLARC Compensation Study
2010	Virginia General Assembly creates Plan 2 for members hired on or after July 1, 2010 - Normal retirement changed to Social Security normal retirement age - Unreduced benefits commencing at Rule of 90 - Reduced early retirement benefits moved to age 60 with at least 5 years of service - Members required to pay 5 percent member contribution (Local option) - COLA formula revised - Five-year AFC formula
2011	Virginia General Assembly enacted 5/5 program for Plan 1 state employees - State employee Plan 1 members required to pay 5% member contribution - Offset with 5% salary increase JLARC updated study on pension reform

2012 Pension Reform Initiatives in Virginia

Bill#	Summary of Changes					
SB 497	Local government and school employees required to pay 5% member contribution					
	- Effective 7/1/2012, but authorized to phase-in over maximum of 5 years					
	- Must provide offsetting salary increases					
HB1130/	Changes to DB Plan effective 1/1/2013 for Plan 2 and non-vested Plan 1 members					
SB 498	- Five-year AFC formula (including hazardous duty)					
	- Multiplier reduced to 1.65% (does not apply to SPORS, VALORS & LEOS)					
	- Unreduced benefits commencing at Rule of 90					
	- COLA formula revised (Max 3% - first 2% CPI-U plus ½ of next 2%)					
	- Phase-in to fully fund VRS Board certified rates by 2018-2020 biennium					
HB1130/	Changes to DB Plan effective 1/1/2014:					
SB 498	- New mandatory Hybrid Plan for all new employees except public safety					
	employees with hazardous duty coverage					
	- DB Component with 1.00% multiplier (30% replacement with 30 years service)					
	- DC component with employee and employer contributions (Mandatory minimum					
	of 1% employee and 1% employer to max of 5% employee and 3.5% employer)					

Recent Changes/Update: OPEB Plans

- Funding: Cost Volatility
 - Contribution Policy
 - Continue pay-as-you-go (Paygo)
 - Partial funding
 - Full funding
 - Discount rate basis
 - Healthcare cost trending
 - Reduced funding vs. original plan
 - Other funding approaches: OPEB Bonds pay off Unfunded Liability

Recent Changes/Update: OPEB Plans

Actuarial Assumptions

- Discount rate basis: sample ARC comparison

```
• Paygo 4% $ 120 M
```

- Partial Funding 6% 100 M
- Full Funding 8% 75 M
- Healthcare trending
- Mortality Table Update

Recent Changes/Update: OPEB Plan

- Plan Design: variety of changes
 - Cost sharing: retirees' % share of costs
 - Eligibility requirements
 - Tiered coverage/subsidies: years of service
 - Employer contribution caps
 - Hard cap
 - Increasing cap
 - Spouse/family coverage
 - Cost containment provisions
 - Wellness programs
 - Access only
- Mostly Soft Freeze approach:
 - Future new hires
 - Future retirees
 - Future retirees not close to retirement
- Buyout of benefits

Virginia's OPEB Plans

- Group Life Insurance
 - Employer provided Group Term Insurance product
 - Multiple-employer cost-sharing pool
- Retiree Health Insurance Credit
 - State Employees (single employer plan)
 - Teachers (multiple-employer cost-sharing plan)
 - Political Subdivisions (agent multiple-employer plan)
- Virginia Sickness and Disability Program
 - State employees (single employer plan)

Funded Ratios for VRS OPEB Plans June 30, 2011 (Dollars in Millions)

actuarial Value of Assets	Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL)	Unfunded AAL (UAAL)	Fuded Ratio	Covered Payroll	UAAL as a Percentage of Covered Payroll
111	897	786	12.4%	5,623	14.0%
86	1,230	1,144	7.0%	6,922	16.5%
14	32	18	43.6%	826	2.2%
2	36	34	5.6%	740	4.6%
213	2,195	1,982	9.7%	14,111	14.0%
852	2,359	1,507	36.1%	16,543	9.1%
330	263	(67)	125.6%	3,372	-2.0%
	of Assets 111 86 14 2 213	Actuarial Value of Assets Accrued Liability (AAL) 111 897 86 1,230 14 32 2 36 213 2,195 852 2,359	Actuarial Value of Assets Accrued Liability (AAL) Unfunded AAL (UAAL) 111 897 786 86 1,230 1,144 14 32 18 2 36 34 213 2,195 1,982 852 2,359 1,507	Accrued of Assets Accrued Liability (AAL) Unfunded AAL (UAAL) Fuded Ratio 111 897 786 12.4% 86 1,230 1,144 7.0% 14 32 18 43.6% 2 36 34 5.6% 213 2,195 1,982 9.7% 852 2,359 1,507 36.1%	ctuarial Value of Assets Accrued Liability (AAL) Unfunded AAL (UAAL) Fuded Ratio Covered Payroll 111 897 786 12.4% 5,623 86 1,230 1,144 7.0% 6,922 14 32 18 43.6% 826 2 36 34 5.6% 740 213 2,195 1,982 9.7% 14,111 852 2,359 1,507 36.1% 16,543

Current Issues

- Comparison vs. Private Sector Plans
- Taxpayer Concerns
 - DB plans
 - OPEB plans
- Union Reactions
- Pension Plan Changes
 - Soft freezes
 - Employee contributions
 - COLAs
 - DC plans
 - Capping employer contribution commitment
- Valuation Discount Rate Basis/Reduction

Current Issues

Current Questions

- Not enough cost reduction?
- Cost/liability volatility concerns remain; especially vs. Private Sector?
- Changing workforce?
- More changes coming?
- Future of economy?



Questions?